



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,331	04/14/2004	Olivier J. A. Schueller	H0498.70168US01	5408
7590 09/21/2007 Timothy J. Oyer, Ph.D. Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C.			EXAMINER	
			SIMONE, CATHERINE A	
600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/824,331	SCHUELLER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Catherine Simone	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
Period for Reply	V 10 05T TO 5VDIDE - MONT	WON OF THIRTY (OO) PAVO			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be twill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (136), cause the application to become ABANDON	ON.  timely filed  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 J	<u>une 2007</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	,—				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	·				
closed in accordance with the practice under I	<u>=x раπе Quayle, 1935</u> С.D. 11, 4	403 U.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>52,54-56,62 and 63</u> is/are pending in	the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 62 and 63 is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>52 and 54-56</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	,	•			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		a)-(d) or (f).			
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li></ul>		tion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •				
application from the International Burea	•	rea in the National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ved.			
	·				
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date.			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application			

Art Unit: 1772

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Withdrawn Rejections

1. The 35 U.S.C. 102(a) rejection of claims 52-56 as anticipated by Gee et al. of record in the previous Office Action mailed 3/22/2007, Pages 2-3, Paragraph #3 has been withdrawn due to the Applicant's amendment filed 6/25/2007.

2. The 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejection of claims 52-56 as anticipated by Moles of record in the previous Office Action mailed 3/22/2007, Pages 4-5, Paragraph #5 has been withdrawn due to the Applicant's amendment filed 6/25/2007.

## Election/Restrictions

3. Newly submitted claims 62 and 63 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: claims 62 and 63 are each drawn to a different invention and each of the inventions are independent, since they are not connected in design, operation or effect, i.e., each invention is separately usable and the operation, design and effect of one is independent of the other.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 62 and 63 stand withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Art Unit: 1772

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 52 and 54-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohman (US 5,443,890).

Regarding claim 52, Ohman teaches an article comprising a first component (bottom plate 1), which can be made of plastic (polymer) material (col. 4, line 18), having a surface bonded to a surface of a second component (top plate 7) in absence of auxiliary adhesive thereby defining a liquid-impermeable seal therebetween (col. 2, lines 18-23), wherein the liquid-impermeable seal comprises siloxane bonds since it comprises silicone rubber (col. 4, lines 7-12), and the first component (Fig. 9, #1) comprises a plurality of protrusions bonded to the surface of the second component (Fig. 9, #7) and a plurality of intervening indentations (Fig. 9, #10) not bonded to the surface of the second component.

Regarding claim 54, note first portions of the surface of the polymeric component (Fig. 9, #1) are bonded to the surface of the second component (Fig. 1, #7) while a second portion of the surface of the polymeric component, intervening the first portions of the surface of the polymeric component, is free of contact with the surface of the second component (Fig. 9, #10).

Regarding claim 55, note first portions of the surface of the second component (Fig. 9, #7) are bonded to the surface of the polymeric component (Fig. 9, #1) while a second portion of

Art Unit: 1772

the surface of the second component, intervening the first portions of the surface of the second component, is free of contact with the surface of the polymeric component (Fig. 9, #10).

Regarding claim 56, note the surface of the second component can be metal (col. 9, line 14).

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 52 and 54-56 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, which is shown above.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1772

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine Simone whose telephone number is (571) 272-1501. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Catherine A. Simone/ Catherine A. Simone Examiner Art Unit 1772 September 6, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER